## ABSTRACT FORM ECAT SYMPOSIUM 8 – 9 NOVEMBER 2018

### Name:

Prof. Dr. Katrien Devreese

University Hospital Ghent, Clinical Laboratory, Ghent, Belgium

### Title:

# Thrombotic APS pathophysiology: consequences for laboratory diagnosis

## Abstract:

In the current classification criteria for antiphospholipid syndrome (APS), only thrombosis and pregnancy morbidity are included. The less frequent clinical manifestations, the so-called non-criteria manifestations (thrombocytopenia, livedo reticularis,...) are often non-thrombotic and not included in the clinical criteria. The diversity of clinical symptoms associated with APS indicate that the pathophysiology of the syndrome cannot be reduced to one mechanism.

A key player in the origin of thrombosis is certainly cell activation (platelets, endothelial cells, monocytes) with signal transduction induced by antiphospholipid antibodies (aPL) resulting in activation of proinflammatory and procoagulant cellular pathways. Influence of aPL on the hemostatic balance may contribute to the hypercoagulable state and upregulation of coagulation systems by aPL is described at multiple levels. Another mechanism is interferences of aPL with complement activation.

It is certain that antibodies against β2GPI, especially those against domain I, can be responsible for the increased thrombogenicity, whereas the role of other antibodies is less clear. Direct evidence for the pathogenicity of these antibodies comes from animal models and cell culture models. Data concerning the clinical relevance of co-factor independent aPL are limited in humans. Recently, anti-prothrombin antibodies get more attention since several studies have indicated their clinical relevance in thrombosis. However, animal models are lacking and we do not know the epitope on prothrombin to which these autoantibodies are directed.

According to the current classification criteria anticardiolipin antibodies (aCL), anti- $\beta$ 2-glycoprotein 1 antibodies (a $\beta$ 2GPI) and lupus anticoagulant (LAC) are accepted as laboratory criteria. After the discovery of the role of  $\beta$ 2GPI, the anti- $\beta$ 2GPI antibodies were added as separate criterion apart from the  $\beta$ 2GPI-dependent aCL. LAC persists as a well-established thrombotic risk factor, illustrated in many studies. Although, when isolated LAC only is considered, the risk for thrombosis is low. Evidence has shown that patients with more than one test positive, and particularly those patients with triple positivity show the strongest association with thrombotic APS. So far, antibodies against domain I of  $\beta$ 2GPI, should be rather considered as a confirmation of the higher thrombotic risk, rather than a candidate for replacement of the a $\beta$ 2GPI. Mainly associated with LAC, the additional value of phosphatidylserine/prothrombin antibodies in APS diagnosis needs to be confirmed.

#### **References:**

-Karen Schreiber, Savino Sciascia, Philip de Groot, Katrien Devreese, Soeren Jacobsen, Guillermo Ruiz-Irastorza, Jane Salmon, Yehuda Shoenfeld, Ora Shovman, and Beverley Hunt. Antiphosholipid syndrome. Nature Reviews Disease Primers 4, 2018, Jan 11;4: 17103.

-De Groot P, de Laat B. Mechanisms of thrombosis in systemic lupus erythematosus and antiphospholipid syndrome. Best Practice and Res Clin Rheumatol 2017; 31: 334-341.

-Devreese KM. Antiphospholipid antibodies: evaluation of the thrombotic risk. Thromb Res. 2012;130, S1:S37-40.

-Miyakis S, Lockshin MD, Atsumi T, Branch DW, Brey RL, Cervera R, Derksen RH, De Groot PG, Koike T, Meroni PL, Reber G, Shoenfeld Y, Tincani A, Vlachoyiannopoulos PG, Krilis SA. International consensus statement on an update of the classification criteria for definite antiphospholipid syndrome (APS). J Thromb Haemost. 2006;4:295-306.

-Devreese K.M, Pengo V, Ortel T, de Laat B. Laboratory criteria for antiphospholipid syndrome:

communication from the SSC of the ISTH: reply. J Thromb Haemost. 2018; Apr; 16(4):809-813.